

19

Which choice best states the relationship between the two passages?

- A) Passage 2 illustrates the practical difficulties of a proposal made in Passage 1.
- B) Passage 2 takes issue with the primary argument of Passage 1.
- C) Passage 2 provides a historical context for the perspective offered in Passage 1.
- D) Passage 2 elaborates upon several ideas implied in Passage 1.

20

Based on the passages, both authors would agree with which of the following claims?

- A) Women have moral duties and responsibilities.
- B) Men often work selflessly for political change.
- C) The ethical obligations of women are often undervalued.
- D) Political activism is as important for women as it is for men.

21

Beecher would most likely have reacted to lines 65-68 (“Now . . . woman”) of Passage 2 with

- A) sympathy, because she feels that human beings owe each other a debt to work together in the world.
- B) agreement, because she feels that human responsibilities are a natural product of human rights.
- C) dismay, because she feels that women actually have a more difficult role to play in society than men do.
- D) disagreement, because she feels that the natures of men and women are fundamentally different.

Questions 22-31 are based on the following passage and supplementary material.

This passage is adapted from Bryan Walsh, “Whole Food Blues: Why Organic Agriculture May Not Be So Sustainable.” ©2012 by Time Inc.

When it comes to energy, everyone loves efficiency. Cutting energy waste is one of those goals that both sides of the political divide can agree on, even if they sometimes diverge on how best to get 5 there. Energy efficiency allows us to get more out of our given resources, which is good for the economy and (mostly) good for the environment as well. In an increasingly hot and crowded world, the only sustainable way to live is to get more out of less. 10 Every environmentalist would agree.

But change the conversation to food, and suddenly efficiency doesn’t look so good. Conventional industrial agriculture has become incredibly efficient on a simple land to food basis. 15 Thanks to fertilizers, mechanization and irrigation, each American farmer feeds over 155 people worldwide. Conventional farming gets more and more crop per square foot of cultivated land—over 170 bushels of corn per acre in Iowa, for 20 example—which can mean less territory needs to be converted from wilderness to farmland. And since a third of the planet is already used for agriculture—destroying forests and other wild habitats along the way—anything that could help us 25 produce more food on less land would seem to be good for the environment.

Of course, that’s not how most environmentalists regard their arugula [a leafy green]. They have embraced organic food as better for the planet—and 30 healthier and tastier, too—than the stuff produced by agricultural corporations. Environmentalists disdain the enormous amounts of energy needed and waste created by conventional farming, while organic practices—forgoing artificial fertilizers and chemical 35 pesticides—are considered far more sustainable. Sales of organic food rose 7.7% in 2010, up to \$26.7 billion—and people are making those purchases for their consciences as much as their taste buds.

Yet a new meta-analysis in *Nature* does the math 40 and comes to a hard conclusion: organic farming yields 25% fewer crops on average than conventional agriculture. More land is therefore needed to produce fewer crops—and that means organic farming may not be as good for the planet as 45 we think.

In the *Nature* analysis, scientists from McGill University in Montreal and the University of Minnesota performed an analysis of 66 studies comparing conventional and organic methods across 50 34 different crop species, from fruits to grains to legumes. They found that organic farming delivered a lower yield for every crop type, though the disparity varied widely. For rain-watered legume crops like beans or perennial crops like fruit trees, organic 55 trailed conventional agriculture by just 5%. Yet for major cereal crops like corn or wheat, as well as most vegetables—all of which provide the bulk of the world’s calories—conventional agriculture outperformed organics by more than 25%.

60 The main difference is nitrogen, the chemical key to plant growth. Conventional agriculture makes use of 171 million metric tons of synthetic fertilizer each year, and all that nitrogen enables much faster plant growth than the slower release of nitrogen from the 65 compost or cover crops used in organic farming. When we talk about a Green Revolution, we really mean a nitrogen revolution—along with a lot of water.

But not all the nitrogen used in conventional 70 fertilizer ends up in crops—much of it ends up running off the soil and into the oceans, creating vast polluted dead zones. We’re already putting more nitrogen into the soil than the planet can stand over the long term. And conventional agriculture also 75 depends heavily on chemical pesticides, which can have unintended side effects.


What that means is that while conventional agriculture is more efficient—sometimes much more efficient—than organic farming, there are trade-offs 80 with each. So an ideal global agriculture system, in the views of the study’s authors, may borrow the best from both systems, as Jonathan Foley of the University of Minnesota explained:

85 The bottom line? Today’s organic farming practices are probably best deployed in fruit and vegetable farms, where growing nutrition (not just bulk calories) is the primary goal. But for delivering sheer calories, especially in our staple crops of wheat, rice, maize, soybeans and so on, 90 conventional farms have the advantage right now.

Looking forward, I think we will need to deploy 95 different kinds of practices (especially new, mixed approaches that take the best of organic and conventional farming systems) where they are best suited—geographically, economically, socially, etc.

Figure 1


Organic Yield as a Percentage of Conventional Yield, by Crop Type



At 100%, the organic yield is the same as the conventional yield. The number of observations for each crop type is shown in parentheses.

Figure 2

Organic Yield as a Percentage of Conventional Yield, by Species



At 100%, the organic yield is the same as the conventional yield. The number of observations for each species is shown in parentheses.

Figures adapted from Verena Seufert, Navin Ramankutty, and Jonathan A. Foley, "Comparing the Yields of Organic and Conventional Agriculture." ©2012 by Nature Publishing Group.

22

As used in line 14, “simple” most nearly means

- A) straightforward.
- B) modest.
- C) unadorned.
- D) easy.

23

According to the passage, a significant attribute of conventional agriculture is its ability to

- A) produce a wide variety of fruits and vegetables.
- B) maximize the output of cultivated land.
- C) satisfy the dietary needs of the world’s population.
- D) lessen the necessity of nitrogen in plant growth.

24

Which choice best reflects the perspective of the “environmentalists” (line 27) on conventional agriculture?

- A) It produces inferior fruits and vegetables and is detrimental to the environment.
- B) It is energy efficient and reduces the need to convert wilderness to farmland.
- C) It is good for the environment only in the short run.
- D) It depletes critical resources but protects wildlife habitats.

25

Which choice provides the best evidence for the answer to the previous question?

- A) Lines 27-28 (“Of course . . . green”)
- B) Lines 28-31 (“They . . . corporations”)
- C) Lines 31-35 (“Environmentalists . . . sustainable”)
- D) Lines 42-45 (“More . . . think”)

26

Which statement best expresses a relationship between organic farming and conventional farming that is presented in the passage?

- A) Both are equally sustainable, but they differ dramatically in the amount of land they require to produce equivalent yields.
- B) Both rely on artificial chemicals for pest control, but organic farmers use the chemicals sparingly in conjunction with natural remedies.
- C) Both use nitrogen to encourage plant growth, but the nitrogen used in conventional farming comes from synthetic sources.
- D) Both create a substantial amount of nitrogen runoff, but only the type of nitrogen found in fertilizers used in conventional farming can be dangerous.

27

Which choice provides the best evidence for the answer to the previous question?

- A) Lines 13-14 (“Conventional . . . basis”)
- B) Lines 22-26 (“And since . . . environment”)
- C) Lines 51-53 (“They . . . widely”)
- D) Lines 61-65 (“Conventional . . . farming”)

28

According to Foley, an “ideal global agriculture system” (line 80)

- A) focuses primarily on yield percentages and global markets.
- B) considers multiple factors in the selection of farming techniques.
- C) weighs the economic interests of farmers against the needs of consumers.
- D) puts the nutritional value of produce first and foremost.

29

In line 88, “sheer” most nearly means

- A) transparent.
- B) abrupt.
- C) steep.
- D) pure.

30

Which statement is best supported by the information provided in figure 1?

- A) The organic yield as a percentage of conventional yield is greater for vegetables than for fruits.
- B) The organic yield as a percentage of conventional yield is similar for cereals and all crops.
- C) The reported number of observations for each crop type exceeds 82.
- D) The organic yield as a percentage of conventional yield is greater for vegetable crops than it is for oilseed crops.

31

Which of the following claims is supported by figure 2?

- A) Of the organically grown species represented, soybeans have the lowest yield.
- B) The organically grown maize and barley represented are comparable in their yields to conventionally grown maize and barley.
- C) Of the organically grown species represented, tomatoes have the highest yield.
- D) The organically grown species represented have lower yields than their conventionally grown counterparts do.